mean. And the stock market responded favorably by going up 257 points.

On September 18th, the New York Times, and this is the third time that that has come about in the last several weeks, the New York Times editorialized about why we needed a worldwide Federal Reserve system to bail out the countries involved in this financial crisis.

Yesterday, on the very same day, there was another op-ed piece in the New York Times by Jeffrey Garten, calling again for a worldwide central bank, that is, a worldwide Federal Reserve system to bail out the ailing economies of the world.

The argument might go, yes, indeed, the financial condition of the world is rather severe and we should do something. But the financial condition of the world is in trouble because we have allowed our Federal Reserve System, in deep secrecy, to create credit out of thin air and contribute to the bubble that exists. Where else could the credit come from for a company like Long-Term Capital Management? Where could they get this credit, other than having it created and encouraged by a monetary system engineered by our own Federal Reserve System?

We will have to do something about what is happening in the world today, but the danger that I see is that the movement is toward this worldwide Federal Reserve System or worldwide central bank. It is more of the same problem. If we have a fiat monetary system, not only in the United States but throughout the world, which has created the financial bubble, what makes anybody think that creating more credit out of thin air will solve these problems? It will make the problems much worse.

We need to have a revamping of the monetary system, but certainly it cannot be saved, it cannot be improved, by more paper money out of thin air, and that is what the Federal Reserve System is doing.

I would like to remind my colleagues that when the Federal Reserve talks about lowering interest rates, like Mr. Greenspan announced yesterday, or alluded to, this means that the Federal Reserve will create new credit. Where do they get new credit and new money? They get it out of thin air. This, of course, will lower interest rates in the short run and this will give a boost to a few people in trouble and it will bail out certain individuals.

When we create credit to bail out other currencies or other economies, yes, this tends to help. But the burden eventually falls on the American taxpayer, and it will fall on the value of the dollar. Already we have seen some signs that the dollar is not quite as strong as it should be if we are the haven of last resort as foreign capital comes into the United States. The dollar in relationship to the Swiss frank has been down 10 percent in the last two months. In a basket of currencies, 15 currencies by J.P. Morgan, it is down 5 percent in one month.

So when we go this next step of saying, yes, we must bail out the system by creating new dollars, it means that we are attacking the value of the money. When we do this, we steal the value of the money from the people who already hold dollars.

If we have an international Federal Reserve System that is permitted to do this without legislation and out of the realms of the legislative bodies around the world, it means that they can steal the value of the strong currencies. So literally an international central bank could undermine the value of the dollar without permission by the U.S. Congress, without an appropriation, but the penalty will fall on the American people by having a devalued dollar.

This is a very dangerous way to go, but the movement is on. As I mentioned, it has already been written up in the New York Times. George Soros not too long ago, last week, came before the Committee on Banking and Financial Services making the same argument. What does he happen to be? A hedge fund operator, the same business as Long-Term Capital Management, coming to us and saying, ‘‘Oh, what you better do is protect the system.’’

Well, I do not think the American people can afford it. We do have a financial bubble, but financial bubbles are caused by the creation of new credit from central banks. Under a sound monetary system you have a commodity standard of money where politicians lose total control. Politicians do not have control and they do not instill trust into the paper money system.

But we go one step further. The Congress has reneged on its responsibility and has not maintained the responsibility of maintaining value in the dollar. It has turned it over to a very secretive body, the Federal Reserve System, that has no responsibility to the U.S. Congress. So I argue for the case of watching out for the dollar and argue for sound money, and not to allow this to progress any further.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. CONYERS addressed the House. HIs remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

GLOBAL CREDIT CRUNCH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. HINCHEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HINCHEY. Mr. Speaker, we have crossed the threshold of uncertainty and we are now entering upon a new economic dimension. In fact, we have been in that dimension for some time now.

Recalling the global economy, it is an area that is fraught with dangers and difficulties for us and other economies around the world. In fact, we have already seen its expression in East Asia, Russia and elsewhere, and the impact of the global economic decline is going to impact on us very soon and we need to prepare ourselves for it.

The Federal Reserve in that regard should have lowered interest rates a year ago when the Asian crisis first became a threat. Chairman Greenspan has told us many times that it takes a year or more for changes in monetary policy to express themselves and become workable in the real world.

In the meantime, things have only gotten worse. Economies all across Asia are depressed. Russia has collapsed, and Latin America looks like it will be the next region on the planet to contract this economic contagion.

The first signs of trouble are showing up on our shores: Lower corporate profits, a rising trade deficit, a decrease in exports, layoffs in the manufacturing sector, sinking commodity prices, and, now, a looming credit crunch.

Banks and securities firms the companies that were the biggest beneficiaries of the emerging market boom, are shaping up to be the biggest losers as these markets go bust.

Our largest financial firms gambled trillions of dollars on these economies in a daisy chain of derivative transactions that were essentially placing highly leveraged bets on everything from exchange rates to interest rates to government bonds in a variety of countries.

When the Russian government devalued its currency and defaulted on its obligations, it set off a global selling frenzy as these financial firms struggled to meet margin calls from their counterparts. Some of our biggest banks have announced losses of $1 billion or more in these transactions.

Just yesterday, the New York Federal Reserve Bank orchestrated a multi-billion dollar bailout of a sophisticated hedge fund. These were not armchair investors who got in over their heads. This fund was run by the former head of a leading investment bank, two Nobel Prize-winning economists, and a former vice-chairman of the Federal Reserve Board. It is amazing to think that losses of this magnitude could happen in a market that is essentially unregulated. It is even more amazing that some of my colleagues in this Congress would tie the hands of the one regulatory agency, the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, that is looking into this situation.

The end result for the American people is that our banks are dipping into their reserves to cover these losses in these speculative derivatives transactions. This is money that will not be loaned to local businesses to financial local growth at home because it will not be there. This is money that will not help entrepreneurs with their start-up ventures. This is money that people will not be able to use to finance new homes, cars or other major purchases, because it will not be available.